Toward QCD on Quantum Computer: Orbifold Lattice Approach

Masanori Hanada 花田 政範

Queen Mary University of London

11 Jan 2024 @ Johannesburg (remotely)



H(x,p)



Hamilton Formulation

Quantum Mechanics





Lagrange Formulation

$$L(x, \dot{x}), \quad \dot{x} = \frac{dx}{dt}$$



Path Integral

Path integral + Monte Carlo simulation





PHYSICAL REVIEW D covering particles, fields, gravitation, and cosmology Highlights Accepted Recent Collections Authors Referees Search Confinement of quarks Kenneth G. Wilson Phys. Rev. D 10, 2445 - Published 15 October 1974 Citing Articles (2,968) Article References PDF **Export Citation** PHYSICAL REVIEW D

Highlights Recent Accepted Collections Authors Referees Search Press A

PDF

Export Citation

Monte Carlo study of quantized SU(2) gauge theory

Michael Creutz Phys. Rev. D **21**, 2308 – Published 15 April 1980

References

Article

An article within the collection: *Physical Review D* 50th Anniversary Milestones

Citing Articles (502)

... if there is no sign problem

$$\operatorname{Tr}(e^{-\hat{H}/T}) = \int [dx] e^{-\int_0^{T^{-1}} dt \tilde{L}(x,\dot{x})}$$

Positive path integral weight ("probability") is required

$$\langle x_f | e^{-i\hat{H}t} | x_i \rangle = \int_{x_i}^{x_f} [dx] e^{i\int dt L(x,\dot{x})}$$

Complex number \rightarrow not "probability"

Sign Problem

(or Phase Problem)

i is important but difficult to handle.







Simulating Physics with Computers

Richard P. Feynman

Department of Physics, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91107

Received May 7, 1981

Quantum Simulation

Qubit-based universal quantum computer

(Assuming bright future)

Rule of the game

- Many, but finitely many, qubits with error correction
- Unitary time evolution is realized by using simple quantum gates

<u>Our task</u>

- Truncate Hilbert space to finite dimensions
- Write down Hamiltonian explicitly using simple quantum gates

Hamiltonian Lattice Gauge Theory

- Kogut-Susskind formulation
 - Popular because it was the only known option.
 - Complicated.

PHYSICAL REVIEW D

VOLUME 11, NUMBER 2

15 JANUARY 1975

Hamiltonian formulation of Wilson's lattice gauge theories

John Kogut* Laboratory of Nuclear Studies, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853

Leonard Susskind[†] Belfer Graduate School of Science, Yeshiva University, New York, New York and Tel Aviv University, Ramat Aviv, Israel and Laboratory of Nuclear Studies, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York (Received 9 July 1974)

- Orbifold lattice construction
 - Invented to build supersymmetric lattice gauge theory.

(Kaplan, Katz, Unsal, 2003)

- Convenient for quantum simulation.

(Buser, Gharibyan, MH, Honda, Liu, 2020; Bergner, MH, Rinaldi, Schafer, in preparation)

Hamiltonian formulation of Wilson's lattice gauge theories

John Kogut* Laboratory of Nuclear Studies, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853

Leonard Susskind[†]

Belfer Graduate School of Science, Yeshiva University, New York, New York and Tel Aviv University, Ramat Aviv, Israel and Laboratory of Nuclear Studies, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York (Received 9 July 1974)



"Wilson's Lattice Gauge Theory"

$$\begin{split} U_{\mu,\vec{x}} &= e^{iaA_{\mu}(x)} \\ U_{\mu,\vec{x}} \to \Omega(x)U_{\mu,\vec{x}}\Omega(x+\hat{\mu})^{\dagger} \\ S &= -\beta N \sum_{\vec{x}} \sum_{\mu \neq \nu} Tr\left(U_{\mu,\vec{x}}U_{\nu,\vec{x}+\hat{\mu}}U_{\mu,\vec{x}+\hat{\nu}}^{\dagger}U_{\nu,\vec{x}}^{\dagger}\right) \end{split}$$



Make time direction continuous, and go to operator formalism

 $\hat{H} = \hat{H}_{\rm E} + \hat{H}_{\rm B}$

Electric
$$\hat{H}_{\rm E} = \frac{a^3}{2} \sum_{\vec{n}} \sum_{\mu=1}^{3} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{k^2} \left(\hat{E}^{\alpha}_{\mu,\vec{n}} \right)^2$$

Magnetic

$$\hat{H}_{\rm B} = -\frac{1}{2ag^2} \sum_{\vec{n}} \sum_{\mu < \nu} \left(\text{Tr} \left(\hat{U}_{\mu,\vec{n}} \hat{U}_{\nu,\vec{n}+\hat{\mu}} \hat{U}_{\mu,\vec{n}+\hat{\nu}}^{\dagger} \hat{U}_{\nu,\vec{n}}^{\dagger} \right) + \text{h.c.} \right)$$

$$\left[\hat{E}^{\alpha}_{\mu,\vec{n}},\hat{U}_{\nu,\vec{n}'}\right] = a^{-2}g\delta_{\mu\nu}\delta_{\vec{n}\vec{n}'}\tau_{\alpha}\hat{U}_{\nu,\vec{n}'}$$

Why complicated?

$$\left[\hat{E}^{\alpha}_{\mu,\vec{n}},\hat{E}^{\beta}_{\nu,\vec{n}'}\right] = -if^{\alpha\beta\gamma}a^{-2}g\delta_{\mu\nu}\delta_{\vec{n}\vec{n}'}\hat{E}^{\gamma}_{\nu,\vec{n}'}$$

$\hat{U} \left| U \right\rangle = U \left| U \right\rangle$ $U \in \mathrm{SU}(N)$ $\boxed{\text{complicated}}$

 $\hat{x} |x\rangle = x |x\rangle$

 $x \in \mathbb{R}$



$$\begin{array}{c|c} \hat{U} \left| U \right\rangle = U \left| U \right\rangle & & \hat{x} \left| x \right\rangle = x \left| x \right\rangle \\ \hline U \in \mathrm{SU}(N) & & x \in \mathbb{R} \\ \hline \mathrm{complicated} & & \hat{x} \mid x \rangle = x \left| x \right\rangle \\ \hline \mathrm{Simple} & & & \\ \hline \mathrm{Simple} & & \\ \hline \mathrm{Simple} & & \\ \hline \mathrm{Complicated} & & & \\ \hline \mathrm{Simple} & & \\ \hline \mathrm{Complicated} & & & \\ \hline \mathrm{Simple} & & \\ \hline \mathrm{Complicated} & & & \\ \hline \mathrm{Simple} & & \\ \hline \mathrm{Simple} & & \\ \hline \mathrm{Complicated} & & & \\ \hline \mathrm{Simple} & & \\ \hline \mathrm{Complicated} & & \\ \hline \mathrm{Simple} & & \\ \hline \mathrm{Simple} & & \\ \hline \mathrm{Complicated} & & \\ \hline \mathrm{Simple} & & \\ \hline \mathrm{Complicated} & & \\ \hline \mathrm{Simple} & & \\ \hline \mathrm{S$$

\hat{x} and \hat{p} are simpler

- Fock basis truncation

$$\hat{a} = \frac{\hat{x} + i\hat{p}}{\sqrt{2}} \qquad \hat{a} |0\rangle = 0$$
$$|n\rangle = \frac{(\hat{a}^{\dagger})^n}{\sqrt{n!}} |0\rangle \qquad 0 \le n < \Lambda$$

- Coordinate basis truncation

$$\hat{x} |x\rangle = x |x\rangle$$
 $-R \le x \le R$
 $x_n = -R + n\delta_x, \qquad \delta_x = \frac{2R}{\Lambda - 1}$
 $n = 0, 1, \cdots, \Lambda - 1$



→ Scalar QFT is simpler

 $\hat{x}, \hat{p} \leftrightarrow \hat{\phi}_{\vec{n}}, \hat{\pi}_{\vec{n}}$

(e.g., Jordan, Lee, Preskill, 2011)

 $\left[\phi_{\vec{n}}, \hat{\pi}_{\vec{n}'}\right] = i\delta_{\vec{n}\vec{n}'}$

→ Matrix model is simpler

(e.g., Gharibyan, MH, Honda, Liu, 2011 Maldacena, 2023)

 $\hat{x}, \hat{p} \leftrightarrow X_{M,ij}, \hat{P}_{M,ij}$

Orbifold lattice construction

(Kaplan, Katz, Unsal, 2003)



(Gharibyan, MH, Honda, Liu, 2020; Bergner, MH, Rinaldi, Schafer, in preparation)

Bosonic part

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{\vec{n}} \operatorname{Tr} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{3} \hat{P}_{j,\vec{n}} \hat{\bar{P}}_{j,\vec{n}} + \frac{g_{4d}^2}{2a^3} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{3} \left(\hat{Z}_{j,\vec{n}} \hat{\bar{Z}}_{j,\vec{n}} - \hat{\bar{Z}}_{j,\vec{n}-\hat{j}} \hat{Z}_{j,\vec{n}-\hat{j}} \right) \right|^2 + \frac{2g_{4d}^2}{a^3} \sum_{j < k} \left| \hat{Z}_{j,\vec{n}} \hat{Z}_{k,\vec{n}+\hat{j}} - \hat{Z}_{k,\vec{n}} \hat{Z}_{j,\vec{n}+\hat{k}} \right|^2 \right) + \Delta \hat{H}.$$

$$\begin{split} \Delta \hat{H} &\equiv \frac{m^2 g_{4d}^2}{2a} \sum_{\vec{n}} \sum_{j=1}^3 \text{Tr} \left| \hat{Z}_{j,\vec{n}} \hat{\bar{Z}}_{j,\vec{n}} - \frac{a}{2g_{4d}^2} \right|^2 \\ &+ \frac{\mu^2 g_{4d}^2}{2a} \sum_{\vec{n}} \sum_{j=1}^3 \left| \frac{1}{N} \text{Tr}(\hat{Z}_{j,\vec{n}} \hat{\bar{Z}}_{j,\vec{n}}) - \frac{a}{2g_{4d}^2} \right|^2 \end{split}$$

Scalar mass term→YM at low energy

$$[\hat{Z}_{j,\vec{n},pq},\hat{\bar{P}}_{k\vec{n}',rs}] = i\delta_{jk}\delta_{\vec{n}\vec{n}'}\delta_{ps}\delta_{qr}$$

(Gharibyan, MH, Honda, Liu, 2020; Bergner, MH, Rinaldi, Schafer, in preparation)

Fermionic part

$$\hat{H}_{\text{naive}} = a^{3} \sum_{\vec{n}} \left\{ \frac{i}{2a} \sum_{j=1}^{3} \left(\hat{\bar{\psi}}_{\vec{n}} \gamma^{j} \hat{U}_{j,\vec{n}} \hat{\psi}_{\vec{n}+\hat{j}} - \hat{\bar{\psi}}_{\vec{n}+\hat{j}} \gamma^{j} \hat{U}_{j,\vec{n}}^{\dagger} \hat{\psi}_{\vec{n}} \right) + m \hat{\bar{\psi}}_{\vec{n}} \hat{\psi}_{\vec{n}} \right\}$$

$$\hat{H}_{\text{naive}} = a^{3} \sum_{\vec{n}} \left\{ \frac{i}{2a} \sqrt{\frac{2g_{4d}^{2}}{a}} \sum_{j=1}^{3} \left(\hat{\bar{\psi}}_{\vec{n}} \gamma^{j} \hat{Z}_{j,\vec{n}} \hat{\psi}_{\vec{n}+\hat{j}} - \hat{\bar{\psi}}_{\vec{n}+\hat{j}} \gamma^{j} \hat{\bar{Z}}_{j,\vec{n}} \hat{\psi}_{\vec{n}} \right) + m \hat{\bar{\psi}}_{\vec{n}} \hat{\psi}_{\vec{n}} \right\}$$

(Gharibyan, MH, Honda, Liu, 2020; Bergner, MH, Rinaldi, Schafer, in preparation)

Fermionic part

$$\hat{H}_{\text{Wilson}} = a^{3} \cdot \frac{i}{2a} \sum_{j=1}^{3} \sum_{\vec{n}} \left(\hat{\psi}_{\vec{n}} - \hat{\psi}_{\vec{n}+\hat{j}} \hat{U}_{j,\vec{n}}^{\dagger} \right) \left(\hat{\psi}_{\vec{n}} - \hat{U}_{j,\vec{n}} \hat{\psi}_{\vec{n}+\hat{j}} \right)$$
$$\hat{H}_{\text{Wilson}} = a^{3} \cdot \frac{i}{2a} \sum_{j=1}^{3} \sum_{\vec{n}} \left(\hat{\psi}_{\vec{n}} - \sqrt{\frac{2g_{4d}^{2}}{a}} \hat{\psi}_{\vec{n}+\hat{j}} \hat{Z}_{j,\vec{n}} \right) \left(\hat{\psi}_{\vec{n}} - \sqrt{\frac{2g_{4d}^{2}}{a}} \hat{Z}_{j,\vec{n}} \hat{\psi}_{\vec{n}+\hat{j}} \right)$$



 $Z = c \cdot W \cdot U$ Complex U(N)

- No difference in the IR if U(1) is not asymptotically free at UV
- To remove U(1) explicitly, we can add $\Delta \hat{H} \propto |{
 m Im}\,{
 m det}\,\hat{Z}|^2$

Easy for SU(2) and SU(3). Harder for larger N.

How easy?

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{\vec{n}} \operatorname{Tr} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{3} \hat{P}_{j,\vec{n}} \hat{\bar{P}}_{j,\vec{n}} + \frac{g_{4d}^2}{2a^3} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{3} \left(\hat{Z}_{j,\vec{n}} \hat{\bar{Z}}_{j,\vec{n}} - \hat{\bar{Z}}_{j,\vec{n}-\hat{j}} \hat{Z}_{j,\vec{n}-\hat{j}} \right) \right|^2 + \frac{2g_{4d}^2}{a^3} \sum_{j < k} \left| \hat{Z}_{j,\vec{n}} \hat{Z}_{k,\vec{n}+\hat{j}} - \hat{Z}_{k,\vec{n}} \hat{Z}_{j,\vec{n}+\hat{k}} \right|^2 \right) + \Delta \hat{H}.$$

$$\begin{split} \Delta \hat{H} &\equiv \frac{m^2 g_{4d}^2}{2a} \sum_{\vec{n}} \sum_{j=1}^3 \operatorname{Tr} \left| \hat{Z}_{j,\vec{n}} \hat{\bar{Z}}_{j,\vec{n}} - \frac{a}{2g_{4d}^2} \right|^2 \\ &+ \frac{\mu^2 g_{4d}^2}{2a} \sum_{\vec{n}} \sum_{j=1}^3 \left| \frac{1}{N} \operatorname{Tr}(\hat{Z}_{j,\vec{n}} \hat{\bar{Z}}_{j,\vec{n}}) - \frac{a}{2g_{4d}^2} \right|^2 \end{split}$$

4-boson interaction $\hat{x}_1 \hat{x}_2 \hat{x}_3 \hat{x}_4$

$$x_n = -R + n\delta_x, \qquad \delta_x = \frac{2R}{\Lambda - 1}$$

 $n = 0, 1, \cdots, \Lambda - 1$

$$\hat{x} = \sum_{n=0}^{\Lambda-1} x_n |n\rangle \langle n| = -R \cdot \mathbf{1} + \delta_x \cdot \hat{n}$$

 $|n\rangle = |b_1\rangle |b_2\rangle \cdots |b_K\rangle$, $b_i = 0 \text{ or } 1$, $n = b_1 + 2b_2 \cdots + 2^{K-1}b_K$

$$\hat{n} = \frac{\hat{\sigma}_{z,1} + 1}{2} + 2 \cdot \frac{\hat{\sigma}_{z,2} + 1}{2} + \dots + 2^{K-1} \cdot \frac{\hat{\sigma}_{z,K} + 1}{2}$$

$$\hat{x}_1 \hat{x}_2 \hat{x}_3 \hat{x}_4 \sim \sum \hat{\sigma}_z \otimes \hat{\sigma}_z \otimes \hat{\sigma}_z \otimes \hat{\sigma}_z$$

$$\hat{p}^2 = \frac{1}{\delta_X^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\Lambda-1} \left\{ 2 \left| n \right\rangle \left\langle n \right| - \left| n + 1 \right\rangle \left\langle n \right| - \left| n \right\rangle \left\langle n + 1 \right| \right\}$$

$$identity \qquad just add or subtract 1$$

$$\Delta \hat{H} \propto |{
m Im} \det \hat{Z}|^2 ~\leftarrow \hat{\sigma}_z^{\otimes 6}$$
 for SU(3)

Future Directions

- Study of QCD via orbifold lattice
 - How large scalar mass? RG flow? Better lattice fermions?
 - Euclidean lattice simulation is enough
- Resource estimate for quantum simulation
- Quantum algorithm
- Quantum simulation of matrix model (before QCD)
 - Quantum Gravity in the Lab
 - SYK and spin models are technically similar to orbifold lattice