



# Logarithmic Corrections to Black Hole Entropy

**Finn Larsen**

Michigan Center for Theoretical Physics

8th Joburg Workshop on String Theory, March 29, 2017 .

# Corrections to Black Hole Entropy

- Most *precision* studies of BHs in string theory focus on BPS black holes (and their near BPS relatives).
- Conventional wisdom: far from BPS there are large and complicated corrections.
- This talk: *explicitly compute quantum corrections* to black hole entropy far from the BPS limit.
- Generally the corrections are found to be fairly complicated, as expected.
- But they greatly simplify in some *environments*.

# Environmental Dependence

- Black holes are often solutions to many different theories.
- For example, ***Kerr-Newman black holes*** are usually considered ***solutions to the Einstein-Maxwell theory***

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{16\pi G_N} \left( R - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \right)$$

- A simple variation: augment the theory by adding a field that appears only quadratically in the action (such as a fermion  $\psi$ .)
- The ***solution is “the same”*** because it is consistent to assume that the additional field vanishes  $\psi = 0$ .
- Environmental dependence: ***corrections depend on such additional fields*** (for example, these fields run in quantum loops).
- This talk: ***Kerr-Newman black holes simplify in an environment with  $\mathcal{N} \geq 2$  supersymmetry.***

# This Talk

- ***Embedding*** of Kerr-Newman black holes into theories with  $\mathcal{N} \geq 2$  SUSY.
- ***Quantum corrections*** to black hole entropy: explicit computation.
- Discussion: a ***non-renormalization theorem***.

Collaborators: Anthony M. Charles and Daniel R. Mayerson.

Funding: support by the DoE.

# Black Hole Solutions

- Starting point: consider ***any solution to Einstein-Maxwell theory***

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{16\pi G_N} \left( R - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \right)$$

- The general asymptotically flat stationary black holes: Kerr-Newman (quantum numbers: M, J, Q).
- Special cases:
  - Schwarzschild: M general but J=Q=0
  - Kerr: M and J general but Q=0
  - Reissner-Nordström: M and Q general but J=0
  - BPS M=Q and J=0
- We want to ***consider these as solutions to  $\mathcal{N} \geq 2$  SUGRA.***

# N=2 SUGRA

- The baseline:  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  **minimal** SUGRA has bosonic part identical to Einstein-Maxwell theory.
- Any bosonic solution remains a solution after the two gravitini are added because fermions can be consistently set to zero.

- **Coupling to  $n_V$  vector multiplets is a challenge:**

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2}R - g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}\nabla^\mu z^\alpha\nabla_\mu z^{\bar{\beta}} + \frac{1}{2}\text{Im} [\mathcal{N}_{IJ}F_{\mu\nu}^{+I}F^{+\mu\nu J}]$$

- Comments:
  - Complex scalar fields in vector multiplets:  $z^\alpha$ ,  $\alpha = 1, \dots, n_V$ .
  - Vector fields  $A_\mu^I$  include the graviphoton so  $I = 0, \dots, n_V$  (one more value).
  - Kähler metric  $g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}$  and vector couplings  $\mathcal{N}_{IJ}$  depend on scalars as specified by special geometry.

# Adding Scalars to Kerr-Newman

- Kerr-Newman does not have scalars so to maintain the “same” solution we take the  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  **scalars constant**.
- An obstacle: **generally the vector fields source the scalars** so they cannot be constant.
- Solution: first specify the projective coordinates  $X^I$  for the scalar, then specify the  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  vectors in terms of the Einstein-Maxwell vector and the scalars as:

$$F_{\mu\nu}^{+I} = X^I F_{\mu\nu}^+ .$$

- Then the sources on the scalars cancel so **it is consistent to have constant scalars**.
- Interpretation: a **non-BPS version of the BPS attractor mechanism**.

# More General Embedding

- We consider *all theories with  $\mathcal{N} \geq 2$  SUSY*.
- It is convenient to summarize the matter content in terms of  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  fields: one SUGRA multiplet,  $\mathcal{N} - 2$  (massive) gravitini,  $n_V$  vector multiplets,  $n_H$  hyper multiplets.
- This *decomposition is useful for both BPS and non-BPS*.
- Our embedding takes the geometry unchanged, matter fields “minimal”, and guarantees that all equations of motion of  $\mathcal{N} \geq 2$  SUGRA are satisfied.
- We want to compute quantum corrections of the Kerr-Newman black hole *as a solution to  $\mathcal{N} \geq 2$  SUGRA*.



# Quantum Corrections: Generalities

- The entropy of a large black hole allows the expansion:

$$S = \frac{A}{4G} + \frac{1}{2}D_0 \log A + \dots$$

- **Taking all parameters with dimension length large**: area  $A \sim (2MG)^2$  by dimensional analysis **up to a function of dimensionless ratios**  $J/M^2, Q/M$  that is nontrivial.
- In the same limit, the logarithmic correction is  $\log A \sim \log 2MG$  up to the function  $D_0$  of dimensionless ratios that is interesting.
- The area  $A$  and the coefficient  $D_0$  can both be **computed from the low energy theory**: only massless fields contribute.
- They each offer an **infrared window into the ultraviolet theory**.

# Quantum Fluctuations: Strategy

- All contributions from **quadratic fluctuations** around the classical geometry take the schematic form

$$e^{-W} = \int \mathcal{D}\phi e^{-\phi\Lambda\phi} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det\Lambda}} .$$

- The quantum corrections are encoded in the heat kernel

$$D(s) = \text{Tr} e^{-s\Lambda} = \sum_i e^{-s\lambda_i} .$$

- The effective action becomes

$$W = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\epsilon^2}^{\infty} \frac{ds}{s} D(s) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\epsilon^2}^{\infty} \frac{ds}{s} \int d^D x K(s) .$$

- The leading corrections are encoded in the **the  $s$ -independent term in  $D(s)$  denoted  $D_0$** , a.k.a. the 2nd Seeley-deWitt coefficient, a.k.a. the **integrated** trace anomaly.

# Interactions

- ***In principle***: computations are straightforward applications of techniques from the 70's.
- But: our embedding into SUGRA gives ***nonminimal couplings***.
- For example, for fermions in  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  hypermultiplets the background enters through Pauli couplings

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{hyper}} = -2\bar{\zeta}_A \gamma^\mu D_\mu \zeta^A - \frac{1}{2} \left( \bar{\zeta}^A F_{\mu\nu} \Gamma^{\mu\nu} \zeta^B \epsilon_{AB} + \text{h.c.} \right) .$$

- Bosons in  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  vector multiplets (some effort to show)

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{vector}} = -g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \left( \nabla_\mu z^\alpha \nabla^\mu \bar{z}^{\bar{\beta}} + \frac{1}{2} f_{\mu\nu}^\alpha f^{\mu\nu\bar{\beta}} - \frac{1}{2} (F_{\mu\nu}^- f^{\alpha\mu\nu} \bar{z}^{\bar{\beta}} + \text{h.c.}) \right)$$

- Such nonminimal couplings force us to compute some new heat kernels.

# Heat Kernel Technology

- Perturbative expansion of the (equal point) heat kernel density:

$$K(x, x; s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s^{n-2} a_{2n}(x)$$

- We need  $a_4$  (the  $D_0$  coefficient is the spacetime integral over  $a_4$ )
- Schematic for generalized kinetic operator  $\Lambda$ :

$$\Lambda_m^n = -I_m^n (\mathcal{D}^\mu \mathcal{D}_\mu) - (2\omega^\mu D_\mu)_m^n - P_m^n$$

- General result:

$$(4\pi)^2 a_4(x) = \text{Tr} \left[ \frac{1}{2} E^2 + \frac{1}{12} \Omega_{\mu\nu} \Omega^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{180} (R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu}) I \right].$$

Notation:

$$E = P - \omega^\mu \omega_\mu - (D^\mu \omega_\mu), \quad \mathcal{D}_\mu = D_\mu + \omega_\mu, \quad \Omega_{\mu\nu} \equiv [\mathcal{D}_\mu, \mathcal{D}_\nu].$$

# Example

- Lagrangian for gravitino in the  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  SUGRA multiplet:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{gravitini}} = -\frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \bar{\Psi}_{A\mu} \gamma^{\mu\nu\rho} D_\nu \Psi_{A\rho} + \frac{1}{4\kappa^2} \bar{\Psi}_{A\mu} \left( F^{\mu\nu} + \gamma_5 \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} \right) \epsilon_{AB} \Psi_{B\nu}$$

Note: Pauli coupling involving field strength  $F_{\mu\nu}$ .

- Heat kernel coefficient:

$$\begin{aligned} (4\pi)^2 a_4^{\text{gravitino}}(x) = & -\frac{1}{360} (212 R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - 32 R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu} \\ & - 360 R_{\mu\nu} (F^{\mu\rho} F^\nu{}_\rho - \tilde{F}^{\mu\rho} \tilde{F}^\nu{}_\rho) + 180 R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} (F^{\mu\nu} F^{\rho\sigma} - \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\rho\sigma}) \\ & + 45 (F^{\mu\rho} F_{\nu\rho} - \tilde{F}^{\mu\rho} \tilde{F}_{\nu\rho}) (F_{\mu\sigma} F^{\nu\sigma} - \tilde{F}_{\mu\sigma} \tilde{F}^{\nu\sigma})) . \end{aligned}$$

- Note: terms of schematic form  $R^2$ ,  $RF^2$ ,  $F^4$ .  
Schematically:  $[D + F, D + F] \sim R + F^2$ .

# Simplifications

- General form of 2nd Seeley-deWitt coefficient:

$$a_4(x) = \alpha_1 R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} + \alpha_2 R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu} + \alpha_3 R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F^{\mu\nu} F^{\rho\sigma} + \dots$$

- After simplifications using Einstein equation, Bianchi identities, ....

$$a_4(x) = \frac{c}{16\pi^2} W_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} W^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - \frac{a}{16\pi^2} E_4 ,$$

Euler density

$$E_4 = R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - 4R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu} + R^2 .$$

- Note: ***all dependence on field strength is traded for curvature terms.***
- Final results can be expressed in terms of  $c, a$  only!

# Duality: Einstein-Maxwell Theory

- **Why** can all explicit dependence on field strength be eliminated?
- Electromagnetic duality requires that **four derivative terms are duality invariant** (even though two derivative terms are not).

- A unique duality invariant tensor:  $\mathcal{I}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = F_{\mu\nu}^+ F_{\rho\sigma}^-$

- All Lorentz invariants (eg  $\mathcal{I}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\mathcal{I}^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ ) can be recast in terms of:

$$\mathcal{I}_{(\mu\nu)\rho}^{\quad\rho} = F_{\mu}^{+\rho} F_{\rho\nu}^- = R_{\mu\nu}$$

- Upshot: duality precludes explicit dependence on  $F_{\mu\nu}$  so **anomaly coefficients expressible in terms of geometry alone.**

# Duality: $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Supergravity

- Duality in  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  supergravity: symplectic invariance
- Embedding shows that the **Maxwell field  $F_{\mu\nu}^+$  is duality invariant**

$$F_{\mu\nu}^{+I} = X^I F_{\mu\nu}^+ .$$

- $U(1)_R$  symmetry:  $F_{\mu\nu}^{+I}$  neutral,  $X^I$  charged, so  $F_{\mu\nu}^+$  **is (negatively) charged**.
- Electromagnetic **duality symmetry of Einstein-Maxwell descends from  $U(1)_R$  symmetry of  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  supergravity**.
- Upshot:  $U(1)_R$  symmetry precludes explicit dependence on  $F_{\mu\nu}$ , **anomaly coefficients expressible in terms of geometry alone**.



# Integrals

- Form of quantum corrections to the entropy:

$$\delta S = \frac{1}{2} D_0 \left( \frac{Q}{M}, \frac{J}{M^2} \right) \log A_H$$

- The  $a$ -term gives a **universal** (independent of BH parameters) contribution to  $D_0$  because

$$\chi = \frac{1}{32\pi^2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} E_4 = 2 .$$

- The  $c$ -term gives a **complicated contribution** to  $D_0$ :

$$\int d^4x \sqrt{-g} W_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} W^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = 64\pi^2 + \frac{\pi\beta Q^4}{b^5 r_H^4 (b^2 + r_H^2)} \left[ 4b^5 r_H + 2b^3 r_H^3 + 3(b^2 - r_H^2)(b^2 + r_H^2)^2 \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{b}{r_H} \right) + 3br_H^5 \right] .$$

$$b = J/M, r_H = M + \sqrt{M^2 - b^2}, \beta = 1/T.$$

# Results: Logarithmic Corrections

- Contributions from bosons in  $\mathcal{N} \geq 2$  theory:

$$c^{\text{boson}} = \frac{1}{60} (137 + 12(\mathcal{N} - 2) - 3n_V + 2n_H)$$
$$a^{\text{boson}} = \frac{1}{90} (106 + 31(\mathcal{N} - 2) + n_V + n_H)$$

- The bosons in the  $n_H$  hyper multiplets and  $\mathcal{N} - 2$  gravitino multiplets are minimally coupled so these values for  $a, c$  are standard.
- The bosons in the  $n_V$  vector multiplets and the supergravity multiplet couple to the field strength so, after eliminating  $F^2$  in favor of  $R$ , these values of  $a, c$  are nonstandard.
- For fermions the situation is reversed.

# Results: Logarithmic Corrections

- Contributions from bosons in  $\mathcal{N} \geq 2$  theory:

$$c^{\text{boson}} = \frac{1}{60} (137 + 12(\mathcal{N} - 2) - 3n_V + 2n_H)$$
$$a^{\text{boson}} = \frac{1}{90} (106 + 31(\mathcal{N} - 2) + n_V + n_H)$$

- Contributions from fermions in  $\mathcal{N} \geq 2$  theory:

$$c^{\text{fermion}} = \frac{1}{60} (-137 - 12(\mathcal{N} - 2) + 3n_V - 2n_H)$$
$$a^{\text{fermion}} = \frac{1}{360} (-589 + 41(\mathcal{N} - 2) + 11n_V - 19n_H)$$

- The *c coefficient vanishes in  $\mathcal{N} \geq 2$  theory!*
- A *huge simplification*: Weyl<sup>2</sup> terms are complicated in general backgrounds.
- It is *a surprise*: SUSY of the background  $\Rightarrow \text{AdS}_2 \times S^2 \Rightarrow \text{Weyl}^2 = 0 \Rightarrow$  vanishing *coefficient* of Weyl<sup>2</sup> not noticed.

# Summary: Quantum Corrections

- Logarithmic corrections to black hole entropy in  $\mathcal{N} \geq 2$  SUGRA are determined by the coefficient of the Euler invariant.
- This coefficient is universal: it ***depends only on the theory*** (not on parameters of the black hole)

$$\delta S = \frac{1}{12} (23 - 11(\mathcal{N} - 2) - n_V + n_H) \log A_H .$$

- These corrections can be reproduced from microscopic theory in some BPS cases.
- The IR theory is a window into the UV theory: apparently the ***deformation (far!) off extremality is independent of coupling!***
- A minor caveat: fermionic zero modes (due to enhanced SUSY) gives a jump at extremality (in most ensembles).

# Higher Derivative Corrections

- **Why** is the anomaly coefficient  $c = 0$ ?
- Background is generally not supersymmetric so **fluctuations are not in supermultiplets**.
- Background field formalism realizes symmetry explicitly: dependence on background fields respect  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  supersymmetry.
- Schematic form of effective action

$$\mathcal{L}_4 = g_W(\text{Weyl}^2 + \text{SUSY partners}) - g_E(\text{Euler} + \text{SUSY partners})$$

Coefficients  $g_W, g_E$  are running couplings with  $\beta$ -function essentially  $c, a$ .

# Higher Derivatives and $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SUSY

- Details of the action: off-shell formalism from reduction of superconformal supersymmetry, a lot of auxiliary fields,..... (details involve hard work).
- SUSY partners to  $\text{Weyl}^2$  were identified a long time ago.
- Schematic of on-shell structure:

$$\text{Weyl}^2 + \text{SUSY partners} = E_4$$

Cartoon: there is an elaborate cancellation between gravitational terms ( $\text{Weyl}^2$ ), their matter partners ( $F^4$ ), and cross-terms ( $RF^2$ ).

# Higher Derivatives and $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SUSY

- SUSY partners to  $E_4$  were identified only in the last few years.
- Schematic of on-shell structure:

$$E_4 + \text{SUSY partners} = E_4$$

Cartoon: the matter terms vanish on-shell.

- So: all matter terms can be eliminated in favor of geometry alone.
- And: both four-derivative  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  invariants reduce to the Euler invariant  $E_4$ .
- ***The anomaly  $c = 0$  because  $W^2$  is inconsistent with  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  SUSY.***

# SUSY Theory and Kerr-Newman

- Another application: string theory corrections can give a Weyl<sup>2</sup> term ***directly in the action***.
- Quantum result: the coefficient of this term does not receive quantum corrections, it is not renormalized in  $\mathcal{N} \geq 2$  SUGRA.
- The full equations of motion are extremely elaborate due to all the terms required by SUSY but they ***are satisfied by Kerr-Newman***. (in pure  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  SUGRA, no vector multiplets)
- Again: there is an elaborate cancellation between gravitational terms (Weyl<sup>2</sup>), their matter partners ( $F^4$ ), and cross-terms ( $RF^2$ ).
- The simplifications are for a ***theory with  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  SUSY*** but ***solutions that preserve no SUSY***.



# Wald Entropy

- The geometry is the same, but the Wald entropy is changed.
- **Corrections to Wald entropy simplify greatly.** Schematically:

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{\text{Riem}}(\text{Weyl}^2 + \text{SUSY partners}) &= \partial_{\text{Riem}} \left( (\text{Riem}^2 - 2\text{Ric}^2 + \frac{1}{3}R^2) + \frac{1}{4}\text{Ric} F^2 \right) \\ &= \partial_{\text{Riem}} (\text{Riem}^2 - 4\text{Ric}^2 + R^2) = \partial_{\text{Riem}} E_4\end{aligned}$$

- The correction to the Wald entropy due to higher order derivatives is a **constant, independent of black hole parameters:**

$$\Delta S = 256\pi g_W$$

- The value of the constant can be related to microscopic theory for BPS black holes.

# Summary

- We evaluated corrections to Kerr-Newman black holes motivated by string theory: quantum corrections and higher derivative corrections.
- Perspective:  $\mathcal{N} \geq 2$  ***SUSY of the theory simplifies results greatly even when BHs preserve no SUSY.***
- Quantum corrections: independent of mass (so the same as for BPS black holes)
- Higher derivative corrections (Weyl<sup>2</sup> + SUSY) also independent of mass (so the same as for BPS black holes)
- Significance: evidence that ***black hole entropy far from extremality is accounted for by weakly coupled strings.***